# Extending perfect matchings to hamiltonian cycles in line graphs.

Domenico Labbate domenico.labbate@unibas.it joint work with M. Abreu, J. B. Gauci, G. Mazzuoccolo, J. P. Zerafa

Università degli Studi della Basilicata – Potenza (Italy)

July 15th 2021 Combinatorial Designs and Codes 2021

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• General Overview



- General Overview
- Line graphs of graphs with small maximum degree

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

- General Overview
- Line graphs of graphs with small maximum degree
- Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH-property

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- General Overview
- Line graphs of graphs with small maximum degree
- Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH-property

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

• Final remarks and problems

• Given a graph G, the **line graph L(G)** is the graph which has the edges of G as vertices, and two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent as edges in G.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Given a graph *G*, the **line graph L(G)** is the graph which has the edges of *G* as vertices, and two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent as edges in *G*.

Our work is inspired by the following ideas:



• Given a graph *G*, the **line graph L(G)** is the graph which has the edges of *G* as vertices, and two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent as edges in *G*.

Our work is inspired by the following ideas:

 (Ruskey and Savage, 1993) Does every matching in the n-dimensional hypercube Q<sub>n</sub>, for n ≥ 2, extend to a hamiltonian cycle of Q<sub>n</sub>?

 Given a graph G, the line graph L(G) is the graph which has the edges of G as vertices, and two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent as edges in G.

Our work is inspired by the following ideas:

- (Ruskey and Savage, 1993) Does every matching in the *n*-dimensional hypercube  $Q_n$ , for  $n \ge 2$ , extend to a hamiltonian cycle of  $Q_n$ ?
- **TRUE** for n = 2, 3, 4 (Fink, 2007) and for n = 5 (Wang and Zhao, 2018).

 Given a graph G, the line graph L(G) is the graph which has the edges of G as vertices, and two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent as edges in G.

Our work is inspired by the following ideas:

- (Ruskey and Savage, 1993) Does every matching in the *n*-dimensional hypercube  $Q_n$ , for  $n \ge 2$ , extend to a hamiltonian cycle of  $Q_n$ ?
- **TRUE** for n = 2, 3, 4 (Fink, 2007) and for n = 5 (Wang and Zhao, 2018).
- (Fink, 2007) Perfect matchings extend to hamiltonian cycles in  $Q_n$ .

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

#### Definition

Let G be a graph having an even number of vertices. Let  $K_G$  be the complete graph on V(G). A pairing of G is a perfect matching of  $K_G$ .

#### Definition

Let G be a graph having an even number of vertices. Let  $K_G$  be the complete graph on V(G). A pairing of G is a perfect matching of  $K_G$ .

#### Definition

*G* has the PH-property: if for every pairing *P* of *G*, there exists  $M \subset E(G)$ , such that  $P \cup M$  is a hamiltonian cycle in  $K_G$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

#### Definition

Let G be a graph having an even number of vertices. Let  $K_G$  be the complete graph on V(G). A pairing of G is a perfect matching of  $K_G$ .

#### Definition

*G* has the PH-property: if for every pairing *P* of *G*, there exists  $M \subset E(G)$ , such that  $P \cup M$  is a hamiltonian cycle in  $K_G$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Theorem (Fink, 2007)  $Q_n$  has the PH-property.

## Results on the PH-property

#### Theorem (Thomassen et al., 2015)

Let G be a cubic graph with the PH-property. Then G is either:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- the complete graph K<sub>4</sub>, or
- the complete bipartite graph K<sub>3,3</sub>, or
- the 3-cube.

The PH-property in  $K_{3,3}$ , in which the red edges are a pairing of  $K_{3,3}$ :

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)()

The PH-property in  $K_{3,3}$ , in which the red edges are a pairing of  $K_{3,3}$ :



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 - のへで

<ロト < 団 > < 巨 > < 巨 > 三 の < で</p>

#### Definition

(PMH-Property) If every perfect matching of a graph G can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle, then we say that G has the Perfect-Matching- Hamiltonian Property, (PMH-Property).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

#### Definition

(PMH-Property) If every perfect matching of a graph G can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle, then we say that G has the Perfect-Matching- Hamiltonian Property, (PMH-Property).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Since every perfect matching of G is a pairing of G:

#### Definition

(PMH-Property) If every perfect matching of a graph G can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle, then we say that G has the Perfect-Matching- Hamiltonian Property, (PMH-Property).

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

Since every perfect matching of G is a pairing of G:

• PH-Property  $\Rightarrow$  PMH-property

In literature, graphs having the PMH-Property are known as F-Hamiltonian graphs. Some known results:

#### Theorem (Las Vergnas, 1972)

Let G be a bipartite graph, with partite sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , such that  $|V_1| = |V_2| = m \ge 2$ . If for each pair of non-adjacent vertices  $u_1 \in V_1$  and  $u_2 \in V_2$  we have:

$$deg(u_1) + deg(u_2) \ge m + 1,$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

then G has the PMH-property.

### Theorem (Haggkvist, 1979)

Let G be a graph, such that |V(G)| is even and at least 4. If for each pair of non-adjacent vertices u and v we have:

$$deg(u) + deg(v) \ge |V(G)| + 1,$$

then G has the PMH-property.

<ロ> < 団> < 団> < 豆> < 豆> < 豆> < 豆> < </p>

Most recent results we could find (apart from Fink's) are:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

#### Theorem (Z.Yang, 1999)

Let G be a graph on  $n \ge 4$  vertices,  $n \equiv 0 \mod 2$ ,  $\min_{v \in V(G)} \{ deg(v) \} \ge 2$ , and

$$|E(G)| \ge \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} + 1.$$

Then, G has the PMH-property if and only if G is not the following graph:



Theorem (Z.Yang, 1999) Let G be a bipartite graph, with partite sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , such that  $|V_1| = |V_2| = n \ge 2$ ,  $\min_{v \in V(G)} \{deg(v)\} \ge 2$ , and  $|E(G)| > n^2 - n + 1$ .

Then, G has the PMH-property if and only if G is not the following graph:



・ ロ ト ・ 西 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

э.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

• Which are the graphs whose line graph admits the PMH-property?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

A necessary condition for line graph to be *PMH* is that it admits a perfect matching hence we assume |*E*(*G*)| = |*V*(*L*(*G*))| is even.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

A necessary condition for line graph to be *PMH* is that it admits a perfect matching hence we assume |*E*(*G*)| = |*V*(*L*(*G*))| is even.

• Another trivial condition is that L(G) is hamiltonian.

- A necessary condition for line graph to be *PMH* is that it admits a perfect matching hence we assume |*E*(*G*)| = |*V*(*L*(*G*))| is even.
- Another trivial condition is that L(G) is hamiltonian.
- (Harary, Nash–Williams, 1965): L(G) is hamiltonian if and only if G admits a dominating tour.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●
- A necessary condition for line graph to be *PMH* is that it admits a perfect matching hence we assume |*E*(*G*)| = |*V*(*L*(*G*))| is even.
- Another trivial condition is that L(G) is hamiltonian.
- (Harary, Nash–Williams, 1965): L(G) is hamiltonian if and only if G admits a dominating tour.
- Recall that a dominating tour is a tour in which every edge of *G* is incident with at least one vertex of the tour.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- A necessary condition for line graph to be *PMH* is that it admits a perfect matching hence we assume |*E*(*G*)| = |*V*(*L*(*G*))| is even.
- Another trivial condition is that L(G) is hamiltonian.
- (Harary, Nash–Williams, 1965): L(G) is hamiltonian if and only if G admits a dominating tour.
- Recall that a dominating tour is a tour in which every edge of *G* is incident with at least one vertex of the tour.
- This implies that if G is hamiltonian or eulerian, then, L(G) is also hamiltonian.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

Theorem (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J. Combin. 2021)

Let G be a hamiltonian graph such that  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , then L(G) is PMH.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Theorem (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J. Combin. 2021)

Let G be a hamiltonian graph such that  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , then L(G) is PMH.

• In particular Theorem applies for all hamiltonian cubic graphs.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Theorem (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J. Combin. 2021)

Let G be a hamiltonian graph such that  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , then L(G) is PMH.

• In particular Theorem applies for all hamiltonian cubic graphs.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• In the cubic case we can say more:

Theorem (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J. Combin. 2021)

Let G be a hamiltonian graph such that  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , then L(G) is PMH.

- In particular Theorem applies for all hamiltonian cubic graphs.
- In the cubic case we can say more:
- (Kotzig, 1964): the existence of a hamiltonian cycle in a cubic graph is both a necessary and sufficient condition for a partition of L(G) into two hamiltonian cycles.

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

Corollary (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J.Combin. 2021)

G be a hamiltonian cubic graph and M a perfect matching of L(G). Then, L(G) can be partitioned into two hamiltonian cycles, one of which contains M.

Corollary (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J.Combin. 2021)

G be a hamiltonian cubic graph and M a perfect matching of L(G). Then, L(G) can be partitioned into two hamiltonian cycles, one of which contains M.

• Could the conditions on maximum degree and hamiltonicity of *G* in the Theorem be improved?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Corollary (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J.Combin. 2021)

G be a hamiltonian cubic graph and M a perfect matching of L(G). Then, L(G) can be partitioned into two hamiltonian cycles, one of which contains M.

- Could the conditions on maximum degree and hamiltonicity of *G* in the Theorem be improved?
- Our result is best possible in terms of maximum degree of G:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Corollary (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J.Combin. 2021)

G be a hamiltonian cubic graph and M a perfect matching of L(G). Then, L(G) can be partitioned into two hamiltonian cycles, one of which contains M.

- Could the conditions on maximum degree and hamiltonicity of *G* in the Theorem be improved?
- Our result is best possible in terms of maximum degree of G:
- indeed, if G is a hamiltonian graph such that  $\Delta(G) = 4$ , then, L(G) is not necessarily PMH (cf. Figure)

Corollary (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J.Combin. 2021)

G be a hamiltonian cubic graph and M a perfect matching of L(G). Then, L(G) can be partitioned into two hamiltonian cycles, one of which contains M.

- Could the conditions on maximum degree and hamiltonicity of *G* in the Theorem be improved?
- Our result is best possible in terms of maximum degree of G:
- indeed, if G is a hamiltonian graph such that  $\Delta(G) = 4$ , then, L(G) is not necessarily PMH (cf. Figure)



• On the other hand, hamiltonicity of *G* in Theorem is not a necessary condition, since there exist non-hamiltonian cubic graphs whose line graph is PMH.

- On the other hand, hamiltonicity of *G* in Theorem is not a necessary condition, since there exist non-hamiltonian cubic graphs whose line graph is PMH.
- Hypohamiltonian cubic graphs are examples of such graphs.

- On the other hand, hamiltonicity of *G* in Theorem is not a necessary condition, since there exist non-hamiltonian cubic graphs whose line graph is PMH.
- Hypohamiltonian cubic graphs are examples of such graphs.
- Recall that a graph G is hypohamiltonian if G is not hamiltonian, but for every  $v \in V(G)$ , G v has a hamiltonian cycle.

- On the other hand, hamiltonicity of *G* in Theorem is not a necessary condition, since there exist non-hamiltonian cubic graphs whose line graph is PMH.
- Hypohamiltonian cubic graphs are examples of such graphs.
- Recall that a graph G is hypohamiltonian if G is not hamiltonian, but for every  $v \in V(G)$ , G v has a hamiltonian cycle.

Proposition (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J.Combin. 2021)

G be a hypohamiltonian graph such that  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ . Then, L(G) is PMH.

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

• Another possible improvement of Theorem could be a weaker assumption on the length of the longest cycle of *G* (i.e. the circumference of *G*, denoted by *circ*(*G*)).

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Another possible improvement of Theorem could be a weaker assumption on the length of the longest cycle of G (i.e. the circumference of G, denoted by circ(G)).
- We use the following standard operations on cubic graphs known as *Y*-reduction (shrinking a triangle to a vertex) and of its inverse, *Y*-extension (expanding a vertex to a triangle), illustrated in next Figure.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- Another possible improvement of Theorem could be a weaker assumption on the length of the longest cycle of G (i.e. the circumference of G, denoted by circ(G)).
- We use the following standard operations on cubic graphs known as *Y*-reduction (shrinking a triangle to a vertex) and of its inverse, *Y*-extension (expanding a vertex to a triangle), illustrated in next Figure.



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

• The following Proposition shows that the hamiltonicity condition in Theorem cannot be relaxed to any other condition regarding the length of the longest cycle in *G*.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

• The following Proposition shows that the hamiltonicity condition in Theorem cannot be relaxed to any other condition regarding the length of the longest cycle in *G*.

 Indeed, starting from an appropriate cubic graph and performing suitable Y-extensions,

- The following Proposition shows that the hamiltonicity condition in Theorem cannot be relaxed to any other condition regarding the length of the longest cycle in *G*.
- Indeed, starting from an appropriate cubic graph and performing suitable *Y*-extensions,
- we obtain a graph of circumference one less than its order whose line graph is not PMH.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

- The following Proposition shows that the hamiltonicity condition in Theorem cannot be relaxed to any other condition regarding the length of the longest cycle in *G*.
- Indeed, starting from an appropriate cubic graph and performing suitable *Y*-extensions,
- we obtain a graph of circumference one less than its order whose line graph is not PMH.

Proposition (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J.Combin. 2021)

Let G be a hypohamiltonian cubic graph of odd size. Let G' be a graph obtained by performing a Y-extension to all vertices of G except one. Then, circ(G') = |V(G')| - 1 and L(G') is not PMH.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで



• Recall that the graph in this figure is hamiltonian, but not every perfect matching in its line graph can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



- Recall that the graph in this figure is hamiltonian, but not every perfect matching in its line graph can be extended to a hamiltonian cycle.
- Such example is not regular, and we are not able to find a regular one.

• A most natural question to ask is whether the hamiltonicity and regularity of a graph are together sufficient conditions to guarantee the PMH-property of its line graph.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

• A most natural question to ask is whether the hamiltonicity and regularity of a graph are together sufficient conditions to guarantee the PMH-property of its line graph.

#### Problem

Let G be an r-regular hamiltonian graph of even size, for  $r \ge 4$ . Does L(G) admit the PMH-property?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

• Note that not all 4-regular (and so not all eulerian) graphs of even size have a PMH line graph.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

- Note that not all 4-regular (and so not all eulerian) graphs of even size have a PMH line graph.
- A non-hamiltonian example is given in Figure



- Note that not all 4-regular (and so not all eulerian) graphs of even size have a PMH line graph.
- A non-hamiltonian example is given in Figure
- It is not hard to check that every perfect matching of L(G) which contains the edges  $e_1e_2$  and  $e_3e_4$  cannot be extended to a hamiltonian cycle of L(G), whose vertices are given the same label as the corresponding edges in G.



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)
▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Since the previous graph and





are both not simultaneously eulerian and hamiltonian, we pose a further problem:

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

#### Problem

Let G be a graph of even size which is both eulerian and hamiltonian. Does L(G) admit the PMH-property?

Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH–property: Arbitrarily traceable graphs

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Ore (1951) introduced:

Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH–property: Arbitrarily traceable graphs

- Ore (1951) introduced:
- A graph G is said to be arbitrarily traceable (or equivalently randomly eulerian) from a vertex v ∈ V(G) if every walk starting from v and not containing any repeated edges can be completed to an eulerian tour.

Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH–property: Arbitrarily traceable graphs

- Ore (1951) introduced:
- A graph G is said to be arbitrarily traceable (or equivalently randomly eulerian) from a vertex v ∈ V(G) if every walk starting from v and not containing any repeated edges can be completed to an eulerian tour.

Theorem (Abreu, Gauci, DL, Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa, El.J.Combin. 2021)

Let G be an arbitrarily traceable graph from some vertex such that G is of even size. Then, its line graph has the PMH-property.

Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH–property: Complete graphs

In 1976 Daykin proved

### Theorem (Daykin - 1976)

If the edges of the complete graph  $K_n$ , for  $n \ge 6$ , are coloured in such a way that no three edges of the same colour are incident to any given vertex, then there exists a properly coloured Hamiltonian cycle.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH–property: Complete graphs

In 1976 Daykin proved

### Theorem (Daykin - 1976)

If the edges of the complete graph  $K_n$ , for  $n \ge 6$ , are coloured in such a way that no three edges of the same colour are incident to any given vertex, then there exists a properly coloured Hamiltonian cycle.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Which we have used to prove that

Theorem (Abreu, Gauci, D.L., Mazzuoccolo, Zerafa - El.J.Combin. 2021)

For  $n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4$ ,  $L(K_n)$  is PMH.

Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH–property: Complete Bipartite graphs

Theorem (C.C. Chen and D.E. Daykin - 1976)

Consider an edge-colouring of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,m}$  such that no vertex is incident to more than k edges of the same colour. If  $m \ge 25k$ , then there exists a properly coloured Hamiltonian cycle.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH–property: Complete Bipartite graphs

Theorem (C.C. Chen and D.E. Daykin - 1976)

Consider an edge-colouring of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,m}$  such that no vertex is incident to more than k edges of the same colour. If  $m \ge 25k$ , then there exists a properly coloured Hamiltonian cycle.

So for the case k = 2, one could obtain that  $L(K_{m,m})$  is PMH for every even  $m \ge 50$ .

# Other classes of graphs whose line graphs admit the PMH–property: Complete Bipartite graphs

Theorem (C.C. Chen and D.E. Daykin - 1976)

Consider an edge-colouring of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,m}$  such that no vertex is incident to more than k edges of the same colour. If  $m \ge 25k$ , then there exists a properly coloured Hamiltonian cycle.

So for the case k = 2, one could obtain that  $L(K_{m,m})$  is PMH for every even  $m \ge 50$ .

However, using a different and more technical approach the following has been proved:

Theorem (Abreu, J.B. Gauci, J.P. Zerafa - 2021)

Let  $m_1$  be an even integer and let  $m_2 \ge 1$ . Then,  $L(K_{m_1,m_2})$  does not have the PH-property if and only if  $m_1 = 2$  and  $m_2$  is odd.

### Thank you!